

|   |                        |                     |  |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|   | 10/731,947             | GILL ET AL.         |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b>        | <b>Art Unit</b>     |  |
|   | A. Dexter Tugbang      | 3729                |  |

**All Participants:**

(1) A. Dexter Tugbang, Examiner.

(2) Robert Guillot, for Applicant(s).

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 11 December 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

n/a

**Claims discussed:**

21-26, 38-43

**Prior art documents discussed:**

n/a

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
**A. DEXTER TUGBANG**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The applicant(s) have agreed to cancel Claims 21-26 as these claims are directed to an invention non-elected, without traverse. Furthermore, the language in Claim 38 was changed to remove any confusion with double recitations, e.g. thin film metal, multilayer laminated structure, etc. Claims 39-43 were changed to merely correct informalities with the grammar. The changes to each of Claims 38-43 do not affect the scope of the claimed invention. The applicant(s) have agreed to all of the above changes (as noted in the attached Examiners Amendment), which places the application in condition for allowance..